UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,859	12/03/2004	Casimir Johan Crawley	PU020269	7325	
Joseph S Tripol	7590 05/29/200 i	EXAMINER			
Thomson Licen		HU, RUI MENG			
PO Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 0	8543-5312	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/5	16,859	CRAWLEY, CASIMIR JOHAN		
		Exam	niner	Art Unit		
		RuiM	eng Hu	2618		
The I Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this commu y	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	nddress	
WHICHEVE - Extensions of tafter SIX (6) M - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD IN IS LONGER, FROM THE IN IT IS LONGER, FROM THE IN IT IS LONGER, FROM THE IN IT IS LONGER AND IT IS LONGER A	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause th	F THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	nsive to communication(s) fil ction is FINAL . this application is in condition in accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action for allowance ex	is non-final. cept for formal ma	·	ne merits is	
Disposition of (Claims					
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim((s) 1-17 is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is/a (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-17 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restricters	are withdrawn fron				
9)□ The sp	ecification is objected to by the	ne Examiner				
10)☐ The dra Applica Replac	awing(s) filed on is/are ant may not request that any objected the transport of the control of the contro	e: a) ☐ accepted of ection to the drawing g the correction is re	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	, ,	
Priority under 3	85 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) itsperson's Patent Drawing Review (isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date		Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on 03/16/2009.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/16/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 12, Applicant argues that the applied references fail to disclose "the decoder is reset and reinitialized throughout a period of transmission idleness at a transmitter source until a transmission signal is received".

The Examiner respectfully submits that according to paragraph 4 and figure 2 of the present invention, one of ordinary skilled in the art would realize EFM decoder 22 operates to decode EFM modulated data only during communications that is when receiving EFM modulated data from a transmitter, thus a phase lock loop in the EFM decoder operates only when the EFM data is present (during communications that is when receiving EFM modulated data from the transmitter). Therefore, the limitation "the decoder is reset and reinitialized throughout a period of transmission idleness at a transmitter source until a transmission signal is received" is read as the decoder is reset and reinitialized when a transmission signal is received after a period of transmission idleness at a transmitter source. Such idleness presents between two separate communications. Thus Sakamoto discloses (figures 2 and 4, column 7 lines 18-

6, column 1 lines 10-60) a RF receiver of a QPSK telecommunication system comprising: an automatic phase lock loop (PLL) 16 in QPSK signal demodulation continuously detecting for an unlocked state, and in response to the unlocked state, PLL 16 automatically corrects (resets) phase-lock in QPSK signal demodulation. PLL 16 is in operating state for all time during data reception and demodulation. It can be easily realized that at the start of a subsequent data reception after a period of non-communication (idleness), once a transmission QPSK modulation signal is received, PLL 16 is automatically established; and PLL 16 re-initializes phase lock in QPSK signal demodulation. Thus Sakamoto discloses the decoder (QPSK demodulator 14) is reset and reinitialized throughout a period of transmission idleness until a transmission signal is received (during the subsequent data reception).

Sakamoto fails to disclose the signal is audio file signal and signal transmission idleness at a transmitter source.

It is well known in the art that PCM is the standard form for digital audio.

In the same field of endeavor, Zuqert et al. disclose a short range wireless digital audio transmission system comprising a transmitter for transmitting QPSK modulation audio signal (figure 2, QPSK 72) and a receiver (figure 7) for receiving and demodulating QPSK modulation signal and for processing digital audio data. From column 1 lines 11-16, it can be easily realized that the system can be OFF or ON for communication, thus a non-communication (idleness) period presents between two communications, and during the non-

communication (idleness) period, the transmitter does not transmit audio data to the receiver.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Zuqert et al. into the art of Sakamoto as to process digital audio data in a short range wireless communication system.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 12-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (US Patent 4940951) in view of Zuqert et al. (US 6466832).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider **claim 1**, Sakamoto disclose apparatus comprising: a receiver (figure 4, PLL 16, column 3 line 58-column 4 line 10, column 7 lines 18-61) for receiving an signal; a decoder (figure 4, QPSK demodulator 14 and PCM decoder 20) for demodulating said signal; and a processor (figure 4, column 3 lines 65-68, a detection circuit and the PLL circuit) configured to poll (continuously detecting for an unlocked state) said decoder for a loss of a phase lock loop in said demodulating of said signal to detect audio file signal loss between the receiver and a transmitter (an unlocked state to be detected when the signal loss in transmission), wherein the processor is further configured to, in response to said loss in said phase lock loop, automatically reset and reinitialize said decoder throughout a period of signal transmission idleness until a transmission signal is received and a phase lock loop is established (figures 2 and 4, PLL 16 is established during the subsequent communication).

Sakamoto fails to disclose the signal is audio file signal and signal transmission idleness at a transmitter source.

It is well known in the art that PCM is the standard form for digital audio.

In the same field of endeavor, Zuqert et al. disclose a short range wireless digital audio transmission system comprising a transmitter for transmitting QPSK modulation audio signal (figure 2, QPSK 72) and a receiver (figure 7) for receiving and demodulating QPSK modulation signal and for processing digital audio data. From column 1 lines 11-16, it can be easily realized that the system can be OFF or ON for communication, thus a non-communication (idleness) period presents between two communications, and during the non-

Art Unit: 2618

communication (idleness) period, the transmitter does not transmit audio data to the receiver.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Zuqert et al. into the art of Sakamoto as to process digital audio data in a short range wireless communication system.

Consider **claim 2** as applied to claim 1, Sakamoto as modified discloses wherein said processor resets and reinitializes said decoder in response to said loss in said phase lock loop such that seamless playing of audio files is maintained (figure 4, the PLL circuit 16 resets demodulator 14 via signal P2).

Consider **claim 3** as applied to claim 1, Sakamoto as modified fail to disclose wherein said receiver comprises 900 MHz radio frequency reception circuitry.

In the same field of endeavor, Zuqert et al. disclose a wireless receiver comprises 900 MHz radio frequency reception circuitry and capable of receiving CD digital audio signals (figure 7, Summary of the Invention).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Zuqert et al. into the art of Sakamoto as modified as to include a 900 MHz radio frequency reception circuitry to receive digital audio signals wirelessly for increasing system dynamic.

Consider **claim 5** as applied to claim 1, Sakamoto as modified discloses wherein said decoder outputs a digital audio stream (figure 4, output of PCM decoder 20).

Consider **claim 6 as applied to claim 5**, Sakamoto as modified fail to disclose wherein said digital audio stream conforms to an I2S audio stream.

However, official notice is taken that I2S is used for digital electronic devices is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use I2S interface to correspond the existing digital audio stream, and output stereo.

Consider claim 12, see response to claim 1.

Consider claim 13 as applied to claim 12, see response to claim 2.

Consider claim 14 as applied to claim 12, see response to claim 3.

Consider claim 16 as applied to claim 12, see response to claim 5.

Consider claim 17 as applied to claim 16, see response to claim 6.

Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (US Patent 4940951) as modified by Zuqert et al. (US 6466832) in view of Bowles (US Patent 6389548).

Consider **claim 4** as applied to claim 1, Sakamoto as modified fail to disclose said decoder comprises an eight to fourteen modulation EFM decoder.

Such teaching is well known in the art. Bowles discloses a decoder comprises an eight to fourteen modulation EFM decoder (figure 3, EFM Demodulator 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Bowles into the art of Sakamoto as modified as to process music audio file signals.

Consider claim 15 as applied to claim 12, see response to claim 4.

Claims 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (US Patent 4940951) in view of Zuqert et al. (US 6466832).

Consider claim 7, Sakamoto discloses a receiver (figure 4, PLL 16, column 3 line 58-column 4 line 10, column 7 lines 18-61) performs the steps of: receiving a modulated (QPSK modulated) signal; demodulating said modulated signal (QPSK demodulation); polling (continuously detecting for an unlocked state) said demodulating for a loss in a phase lock loop in said demodulating to detect signal loss between a receiver and a transmitter (an unlocked state to be detected when the signal loss in transmission); and automatically resetting and reinitializing said demodulating in response to said loss in said phase lock loop throughout a period of signal transmission idleness at a transmitter source until a transmission signal is received and a phase lock loop is established such that seamless playing of signal files is maintained (figure 4, PLL 16 is established during the subsequent communication and PLL 16 resets demodulator 14 via signal P2).

Art Unit: 2618

Sakamoto fails to disclose the signal is audio file signal and signal transmission idleness at a transmitter source.

It is well known in the art that PCM is the standard form for digital audio.

In the same field of endeavor, Zuqert et al. disclose a short range wireless digital audio transmission system comprising a transmitter for transmitting QPSK modulation audio signal (figure 2, QPSK 72) and a receiver (figure 7) for receiving and demodulating QPSK modulation signal and for processing digital audio data. From column 1 lines 11-16, it can be easily realized that the system can be OFF or ON for communication, thus a non-communication (idleness) period presents between two communications, and during the non-communication (idleness) period, the transmitter does not transmit audio data to the receiver.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Zuqert et al. into the art of Sakamoto as to process digital audio data in a short range wireless communication system.

Sakamoto fails to disclose a computer readable medium containing software instructions that, when executed by a processor perform the above steps. The teaching of a computer readable medium containing software instructions to be processed by a processor is well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques

Art Unit: 2618

into the art of Sakamoto as to make it into a program to be processed by a processor.

Consider **claim 9** as applied to **claim 7**, Sakamoto as modified fail to disclose receiving is synchronized to a 900 MHz range carrier frequency modulated by said audio file signal.

In the same field of endeavor, Zuqert et al. disclose receiving is synchronized to a 900 MHz range carrier frequency modulated by said audio file signal (figure 7, Summary of the Invention, column 16 lines 58-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Zuqert et al. into the art of Sakamoto as modified as to include a 900 MHz radio frequency reception circuitry to receive digital audio signals wirelessly for increasing system dynamic.

Consider claim 10 as applied to claim 7, Sakamoto as modified discloses wherein said decoder outputs a digital audio stream (figure 4, output of PCM decoder 20).

Consider **claim 11** as applied to claim **7**, Sakamoto as modified discloses wherein said polling is carried out by a processor (figure 4, the detection circuit and the PLL circuit).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto (US Patent 4940951) as modified by Zuqert et al. (US 6466832) in view of Bowles (US Patent 6389548).

Art Unit: 2618

Consider **claim 8** as applied to claim **7**, Sakamoto as modified fail to disclose demodulating is a digital eight to fourteen modulation digital decoding.

Such teaching is well known in the art. Bowles discloses a decoder comprises an eight to fourteen modulation EFM decoder (figure 3, EFM Demodulator 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the selection techniques taught by Bowles into the art of Sakamoto as modified as to process music audio file signals.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed**

to: Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RuiMeng Hu whose telephone number is 571-270-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Application/Control Number: 10/516,859 Page 13

Art Unit: 2618

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/RuiMeng Hu/ R.H./rh May 23, 2009

/Lana N. Le/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614